

## Kerrville/Kerr County Airport Board

### Request for Design/Build Qualifications for Aircraft Hangar

On September 16, 2013 the Kerrville/Kerr County Airport Board at their stated meeting read the six (6) Requests for Qualification they received in the matter of the construction of new T-Hangars. The scores were tallied and the Board Members voted (with one member abstaining) to enter into negotiations with Lansford Company, Inc.

The follow was the criteria used to score the Requests for Qualifications:

#### 1.1. **EVALUATION CRITERIA**

1.1.1. The following criteria will be used in the evaluation of qualifications for development of the shortlist and/or selection of the most qualified firm/team for hangar design-build. Respondents are required to address each evaluation criterion in the order listed and to be specific in presenting in their qualifications.

- ❖ **Composition of design-build team members (20 points).** This section should clearly state the key participants comprising the design-build team, indicate any contractual arrangements between-among the members, and provide their roles within the design-build team. Experience with similar airport projects, especially pervious design/build experience, and availability of team members should be provided. Additionally provided educational backgrounds, work experience, areas of expertise, and specific experience with design/build and/or construction of similar kinds of facilities and prior experience of the team working together.
- ❖ **Recent experience of the project team with comparable projects within the last five years (20 points).** Prior experience must include contact reference information for a minimum of three (3) previously completed airport hangar projects, and the year in which each was constructed. Firms without previous experience building at least three hangars will not meet qualifications and cannot be considered.
- ❖ **Firm's technical approach to the project. (40 points).** Provide evidence of the firm's/team's understanding of the project and any unique architectural or engineering aspects associated with this proposed project. Describe specific problems and considerations to be addressed. Detail how the process of design/build would be managed and would be handled. This section, including the illustration pages, may include conceptual drawings of the proposed site plan and proposed facility, but they are not required. Address project oversight for the entire process, design through construction, and coordination with the airport sponsor. Information on suppliers and /or materials should be addressed in the proposal. Describe how changes, modifications and corrections would be addressed.
- ❖ **Project Schedule. (20 points).** Provide in weeks the proposed schedule from the notice to proceed through construction of the facility. This schedule should not include any review time from the airport sponsor. It should address the direct time that the design/build team needs to be able to design and build the facility, ready for occupancy.

The individual scores are as follows:

<b>Request for Qualifications Summary Sheet</b>										
<b>Company</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Member Score</b>	<b>Board Totals</b>
LouJon Const.										
1 (possible 20 pts)	10	15			8					
2 (possible 20 pts)	5	5			2					
3 (possible 40 pts)	20	15			12					
4 (possible 20 pts)	20	10			10					
<b>Total</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>45</b>		<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>					<b>172</b>
KSA/Don Jackson										
1 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			12					
2 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			15					
3 (possible 40 pts)	35	20			31					
4 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			13					
<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>80</b>		<b>85</b>	<b>71</b>					<b>331</b>
Gerase Const.										
1 (possible 20 pts)	15	20			8					
2 (possible 20 pts)	20	15			2					
3 (possible 40 pts)	30	20			14					
4 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			7					
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>75</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>31</b>					<b>261</b>

Kendnel Kasper									
1 (possible 20 pts)	10	15			8				
2 (possible 20 pts)	10	15			1				
3 (possible 40 pts)	10	20			10				
4 (possible 20 pts)	10	15			10				
Total	40	65	20		29				154

Lansford Const.									
1 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			19				
2 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			20				
3 (possible 40 pts)	35	20			38				
4 (possible 20 pts)	20	20			19				
Total	95	80	85		96				356

Valla Const.									
1 (possible 20 pts)	10	20			16				
2 (possible 20 pts)	10	10			0				
3 (possible 40 pts)	10	15			20				
4 (possible 20 pts)	10	20			10				
Total	40	65	30		46				181